

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STAPES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. 160. Taylor Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,259	05/09/2001	Elizabeth A. Batson	10007160-1 4065	
7	7590 03/31/2006		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			HU, JINSONG	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C	80527-2400		2154	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/852,259	BATSON ET AL.				
		Examiner					
	,		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jinsong Hu	2154				
Period fo		rears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja	enuary 2006					
		action is non-final.					
3)	<i>,</i> —						
٠,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7-10 and 15-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are windrawn non consideration.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,7-10 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
	ion Papers	·					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
			- - - - - - -				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C & 119(a)	$a_{r}(d)$ or (f)				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
-/	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
			•				
Attaches -	*/a)	•					
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	√ □ 1-1 1	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (P10-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-2, 7-10 and 15-18 are presented for examination. Claims 1, 9, 17-18 are amended and claims 4, 12 and 19 are canceled in the amendment filed on 1/3/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 7-10 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henn et al. (US 6,859,879) in view of Giese (US 6,621,895).
- 4. As per claims 1 and 7-8, Henn teaches the invention as claimed including a computer-implemented method for managing access to computer-provided services for a plurality of requesters [col. 1, lines 7-10], comprising:

defining a plurality of combinations of access characteristics [i.e., session object's attributes] and associating each of the combinations with a security level [col. 4, lines 9-23], wherein the access characteristics include a type of device with which the session is maintained, characteristics of a network over which the session is maintained

Art Unit: 2154

and characteristics of an authentication method [Fig. 6; col. 3, lines 49-55; col. 4, lines 19-50];

associating each of the services [i.e., application function] with one of the security levels [col. 2, lines 16-22];

processing a login request from a requester, whereby a session is initiated [col. 3, lines 11-21; col. 5, lines 6-8];

determining access characteristics of the session, receiving a request for one of the services from the requester, authenticating the requester with an authentication method, and granting access to the one of the services if the access characteristics of the session are associated with a security level that satisfies the security level associated with the one of the services [col. 3, lines 10-27; col. 4, lines 19-56; col. 5, lines 9-21 & 35-44].

5. Henn does not specifically teach ownership rights indicating one of shared use or excusive use of a device, which is maintained in the session. However, Giese on the other hand teaches ownership rights indicating one of shared use or excusive use of a device, which is maintained in the session [col. 10, line 50 - col. 11, line 3]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include Giese's ownership in Henn's system because doing so would enable the system to create a more accurate user profile.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,259

one of the services [Reply Aut. Prompt, Fig. 4].

Art Unit: 2154

6. As per claim 2, Henn teaches the step of prompting the requester for authentication data if the access characteristics of the session are associated with a security level that does not satisfy the security level requirement associated with the

- 7. As per claims 9-10 and 15-16, since they are system claims of claims 1-2 and 7-8, they are rejected for the same basis as claims 1-2 and 7-8 above.
- 8. As per claims 17-18, since they are apparatus and manufacture claim of claim 1, they are rejected for the same basis as claim 1 above.

Conclusion

- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 7-10 and 15-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP §706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 11. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Page 4

Art Unit: 2154

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinsong Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-3965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jinsong Hu

March 29, 2006

NOHN FOLLANSBER

CHNOLOGY CENTER 210